Interconnectedness in the CDS Market¹

Mila Getmansky², Giulio Girardi³, and Craig Lewis⁴⁵

February 2016

Concentrated risks in markets for credit default swaps (CDS) are widely considered to have significantly contributed to the recent financial crisis. In this paper we study the structure of the CDS market using explicit connections based on the total number of CDS transactions, notional value of CDS transactions, net outstanding positions, and network diagrams. The main objective is to provide statistics that characterize the CDS market, the degree of counterparty concentration, the size of different contracts as well as underlying contractual features, and a preliminary analysis of interconnectivity. Our new approach informs the discussion of the structure and resulting fragility or stability of the CDS market and studies potential contagion among its participants. Our findings are of practical importance because, even after central clearing becomes mandatory, counterparty risk will remain a relevant systemic consideration due to the long-term nature of CDS contracts.

I. Introduction

The concentration of transactions and positions in credit default swaps (CDS) markets among a select group of large dealers is widely considered to have significantly contributed to the recent financial crisis. Due to the highly concentrated and interconnected nature of bilateral CDS contracting, the counterparty risk associated with potential defaults of large protection sellers is a potential source of systemic risk. Historically, the decentralized nature of over-the-counter (OTC) derivatives markets has made it difficult for regulators and market participants to obtain reliable information about prices and market exposures. The lack of transparency with respect to exposures held by market participants complicates the management of counterparty risk. Reportedly, this was one of the reasons why, prior to the recent crisis, certain market participants like American Insurance Group (AIG) were able to create large, yet unobservable, exposures (e.g. Markose et al. (2012)).

To the extent that a counterparty failure of a large swap market participant can result in sequential counterparty defaults and shock transmission through the swap market, the ensuing contagion can

¹ The U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, as a matter of policy, disclaims responsibility for any private publication or statement of any of its employees. The views expressed herein are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect the views of the Commission or of the author's colleagues upon the staff of the Commission.

² Associate Professor of Finance, Isenberg School of Management, UMass Amherst

³ Financial Economist, Division of Economic and Risk Analysis, U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission.

⁴ Madison S. Wigginton Professor of Finance, Owen Graduate School of Management, Vanderbilt University and Professor of Law, Vanderbilt School of Law.

⁵ We thank Troy Causey, Benjamin Huston, and Roman Ivanchenko for excellent research assistance.